

Remembering the Student: Flexible Learning and Being Educated for the Twenty-First Century

Ronald Barnett, University College London Institute of Education www.ronaldbarnett.co.uk

www.ioe.ac.uk



Centre for Higher Education Studies





Aims of talk

Following the D-G's introduction with its resumé of the situation, I aim to:

- Identify issues
- Notice differences (across different kinds of flexible environments)
- Ask some questions (even awkward questions) and offer some cautions
- Introduce a distinction between a 'flexible learning *environment*' and a 'flexible learning *situation*' – and suggest that we are neglecting the latter
 - & point to a set of flexible learning situations that is much needed for an education for the C21
- Remember the student
- Conclude with a suggested set of conditions that might be heeded by all programmes of study.



Own background

- Part-time (APHE)
- IOE average age 37
- Evening teaching
- Credit accumulation
- Work-based learning
- Week-based units
- Units (of a single programme) taught across the UK
- AEL
- Life-long learning
- Use of digital resources
- UoL distance learning for 150+ yrs



What is the problem?

- A higher education that was appropriate to an age of limited access has become too limited in the contemporary age
- The relationship being higher education and society now fluid, with weak boundaries
- HE no longer a definite stage between school and the world, but now part of the world:
 - a large number of students in higher education
 - 'mature' students
 - Wishing to work alongside their studies
 - And to go at their own pace (faster/ slower)
 - Already digital natives and expecting that programmes will incorporate a high level of digital resources
 - society expecting more from higher education,
 - Higher education is unduly inflexible in meeting the needs of students
 - So how inject more flexibility?



Context

- Students are now heterogeneous
- A student is no longer necessarily a 'student' fluid identities (work/ home/ learning situation – boundaries intermingle)
- Students have legitimate claims on institutions as 'customers'
- Their learning situations to be designed so as to be outward facing
- No longer a condition of the nature of disciplines (knowledge modes 1&2)
- Full-time 3-yr programme tied to a single institution (inappropriately) rigid.
- New models required to respond to students so that their learning is free of limitations of place, of duration, of institution ...



The debate

- In rough order of priority, has addressed issues of:
 - How?
 - Where?
 - When?
 - Who?
 - What?
 - Has not much addressed the matter as to 'Why?'
 - As a result, focus on national and institutional systems
 - But little, if anything, on what it is to educate for the C21 and what it is to be a student.
 - A focus on *flexible learning environ*ments but has rather neglected *flexible learning situations*.



Awkward questions - 1

- To what degree is there evidence of 'demand' for (kinds of) flexibility?
 - It may be that many students today are seeking *more* structure.
 - After all, they are more highly investing in their higher education
- A greater interest in some forms of flexibility than others?
 eg CAT across institutions discussed in the UK for 40 years
 - But as distinct from CA within institutions it has not taken off:-
 - institutional inertia or lack of demand?



And awkward questions 2

- Suppose more/ some forms of flexibility (eg learning at a distance) lead to

 (a) lengthier programmes of study and so more inefficient (with students moving at different pace of study)
 - (b) higher non-completion rates? (The OU in the UK; digital learning.)
- ie What are the trade-offs? What are the risks? (UK risk assessments)

NB: For past 30 years, a steady process of increased efficiencies (tightening of duration of programmes) – will the flexibility agenda put that process into revers?





Just imagine

Just imagine, a programme of study in which:

- Duration limits were minimal the student could take as little or as long as she liked
- No face-to-face interaction, with tutor or other students
- All the materials were available digitally
- The student could assemble her programme from heterogeneous modules
- There were no requirements to be 'on campus'
- There were minimal entrance requirements
- And the methods of assessment were open (& assessment not required).
 - (ie, many of the elements of flexible learning were present)
 - What do we feel about this? Are there limits to the degree of flexibility, in order to be in the presence of a genuinely 'higher education'?



Digital technologies

- Their effect a complex matter
- Different platforms some are simply matters of access and may not allow interaction
- Effect of screen-based learning no clear picture
- But some evidence that reasoning and understanding change
- Helicopter scanning
- NB:- evidence that non-completion rates rise in direct proportion to:
 - (a) the degree to which a prog of study is reliant on them and
 - (b) the age of the student.





Missing a trick or two?

- But: we could be more imaginative in using digital technologies in helping to realize 'global citizenship'
- And in bringing the world into the classroom.
- ie, flexible curricula
- (I'll come back to this.)



Effects of flexible learning

- So we need to put into effect more monitoring of/ research on effects of each form of flexible learning
 - Open pacing
 - Digitisation
 - Distance learning
 - Multiple locations
 - Part-time study
 - Work-based/ work-situated learning (distinguish them)
 - Examine:
 - Costs
 - Learning
 - Non-completion rates
 - Across age/ gender/ socio-economic background/ ethnicity



Quality/ standards

- Is quality being affected?
 - The new students from non-traditional backgrounds require *more* structure and support ...
 - Students who have already experienced HE respond better to flexible learning systems
 - And distinguish quality of inputs and processes
 - From quality of 'outcomes' of students' understandings and capabilities
 - Are there differences across different kinds of flexibility?
- Are standards affected?
 - Under conditions of flexible learning, do we expect less from students?
 - (cf UK OU)



and social research Institute of Education University of London

Flexible learning situations

Consideration: that the world is coming to expect more from higher education and of its graduates – and there are many indicators of imaginative changes:

- Aarhus public library fuzzy boundaries (students/ learning/ university/ public spaces)
- Lifewide learning
- Digital spaces bringing the world into the classroom •
- Global learning (putting classrooms in touch with each other) •
- Flipped classroom
- The democratic classroom
 - Flexibility in learning spaces, in pedagogical relationships



Remembering the student

• What is it to be educated for the C21?

- eg, The student as a global citizen
- How bring the student to this level of personal development?
- Where every assumption is questioned
- Higher education a matter not so much of knowledge or skills
 - But of the student as a person
 - Coping with complete uncertainty
 - Continual challenge
- Human virtues resilience, courage, empathy, criticality ...
 - Requires a *totally flexible pedagogy* in which the teacher cannot know what is to happen in an encounter with the student(s)
 - To what extent can this much more demanding HE be brought off under conditions of FLEs?



A suitable programme of studies

- Curricula are still important knowledge and skills
- But now, *pedagogy* the teacher/ student relationship becomes crucial
- The student space, responsibility, judgement (in open-ended situations)
- A higher education of strangeness, risk, and personal response
- This calls for a highly flexible *learning situation*
- But a different form of flexibility
 - A flexibility in the pedagogical process
 - In which the pedagogical relationship is open, in which the student is not 'spoon-fed' but is given the openness and is required to come forward with her own judgements.
- ¹⁶ ('There are no right answers'.)





It follows that

- Flexibility is crucial but forms of flexibility differ
- Each form of flexibility needs to be given consideration in its own right
- Not an absolute good
- & where several forms of flexibility are present, there may be risks to both quality and to standards
- The educational challenges of the programme of study have to be addressed
- Moreover, a danger that a 'flexible' learning *environment* may be accompanied by a much heightened set of inflexibilities in the learning *situation*
 - Curriculum, stages of progress, course requirements, learning outcomes, assessment regimes.



Conditions of flexible learning environments - 1

Every programme of study, no matter how many elements of flexibility it contains, should:

- 1 Lead to a qualification that contributes to major awards
- 2 Offer students access to suitable materials and appropriate cognitive and practical experiences
- 3 Offer regular academic interaction with other students
- 4 Offer access to tutors, in real-time interaction
- 5 Offer prompt and informative (formative) feedback from tutors
- 6 Offer access to other academic services (academic; careers advice; etc)
- 7 Enable students to offer feedback on their total experience

18



Conditions of openness - 2

- 8 Be academically and educationally structured
- 9 Provide a pedagogical openness
- 10 Offer ladder(s) of progression
- 11 Be suitably robust and reliable
- 12 Be cost-effective
- 13 Have sufficient structure so that student completion is a likely outcome
- 14 Contain sufficient challenge so that the students are cognitively and experientially stretched (so as to count as higher education)



Conclusions - 1

1 Several important forms of flexibility - and they are distinct

- But they have been concerned with systems, not educational processes (they have been answers to questions of when/ where/ how/who?)
- 2 Flexibility is a good and we need much more of it across the EU
 - but it is not an unqualified good
- 3 Across EU, we're on different paths & different points on those paths
 - Time for an audit of FLEs across the EU?
- 4 Each form of flexibility should be taken forward with some care
 - and subjected to a rigorous evaluation
 - Crucial that flexible learning isn't an impoverished learning.



Institute of Education University of London 20 Bedford Way London WC1H 0AL

Tel +44 (0)20 7612 6000 Fax +44 (0)20 7612 6126 Email info@ioe.ac.uk Web www.ioe.ac.uk



Conclusions - 2

- 5 Put the student and her/his education for the C21 at the centre
- 6 Inject *more flexibility*, in particular, in relation to the educational process and both curricula and the pedagogy and the latter is crucial.
 - (But danger that systems flexibility will occlude educational flexibility)
- 7 Let us not forget the student and let's bring some judgemental rigour to this important agenda.



Some (additional) questions

- In your country, which would you see as the most pressing forms of flexible learning environments yet to be developed?
 - And what are the difficulties and risks confronting the development of that particular form of flexibility?
- Could you envisage the realization of credit transfer across the EU countries?
- Do you think it possible to develop more flexible pedagogical situations, while also developing more flexible learning environments?